arXiv:0806.4360v1 [math.DG] 26 Jun 2008

On submanifolds with recurrent second fundamental form in spaces of constant curvature.

Irina I. Bodrenko [1]

Abstract

The complete local classification and geometric description of n-dimensional submanifolds F^n with recurrent nonparallel second fundamental form in the spaces of constant curvature $M^{n+p}(c)$ are obtained in this article.

Introduction

Let F^n be the n-dimensional $(n \geq 2)$ smooth submanifold in $(n+p)$-dimensional $(p \geq 2)$ space of constant curvature $M^{n+p}()$. We denote by b the second fundamental form F^n, by $\overline{\nabla}$ the connectedness of Van der Varden — Bortolotti. b is called *parallel*, if $\overline{\nabla} b \equiv 0$. Numerous articles have been devoted to the studying of submanifolds with $\overline{\nabla} b \equiv 0$. The syrvey of those main results we can see in [1, 2].

According to the definition of the recurrent tensor field [3, б. 279] the nonzero form b is called *the recurrent one*, if there exists 1-form μ on F^n such that $\overline{\nabla} b = \mu \bigotimes b$. We will say that F^n belongs to the set $\mathcal{R}_b$ if F^n has recurrent the second fundamental form b. The submanifolds F^n from the set $\mathcal{R}_b$ in Euclidean space E^{n+p} were being studied in [4]. In this article we classify the submanifolds F^n from the set $\mathcal{R}_b$ in spaces $M^{n+p}(c)$. The set $\mathcal{R}_b$ includes all submanifolds with parallel the second fundamental form but not only those ones. For example, the product of the plane curve $\gamma \subset E^2$ with curvature $k_1 \neq 0$ and $(n-1)$-dimensional space E^{n-1} is cylindrical hypersurface $F^n = \gamma \times E^{n-1} \subset E^{n+1}$ belongs to the set $\mathcal{R}_b$ [4].

For each point $x \in F^n$ we denote by $T_x F^n$ and $T_x^{\perp} F^n$ tangent and normal spaces of F^n at x respectively. According to [5] we will say that F^n carries, in arbitrary domain $U \subset F^n$ *the conjugate system* $\{L_1^{k_1}, \ldots, L_m^{k_m}\}$ $(k_1 + \ldots + k_m = n, \dim L_i^{k_i} = k_i, i = \overline{1, m})$, if $T_y F^n = L_1^{k_1}(y) \oplus \ldots \oplus L_m^{k_m}(y)$ at each point $y \in U$, all distributions $L_i^{k_i}$, $i = \overline{1, m}$, are smooth, involute and mutually conjugate. Moreover, if $L_i^{k_i}$ is mutually totally orthogonal then the conjugate system is called *the orthogonal*. Submanifold $F \subset F^n$ is called *the surface of curvature* F^n if, at every point $x \in F$, its tangent subspaces $T_x F$ are proper subspaces of matrixes B_ξ of the second fundsmental form b with respect to arbitrary normal $\xi \in T_x^{\perp} F^n$.

---

[1] ©2005 Irina I. Bodrenko, associate professor, Department of Mathematics,
Volgograd State University, University Prospekt 100, Volgograd, 400062, RUSSIA.
E.-mail: bodrenko@mail.ru http://www.bodrenko.com http://www.bodrenko.org

The main result of this article is

Theorem 1. *Let* F^n *in* $M^{n+p}(c)$ *belongs to the set* $\mathcal{R}_b$*. If, at point* $x \in F^n$, $\overline{\nabla} b \neq 0$ *then* x *belongs to a certain domain* $U \subset F^n$*, where*

1) F^n *carries the orthogonal conjugate system* $\{L_1^1, L_2^{n-1}\}$*;*

2) F^n *is direct Riemmanian product* $F_1^1 \times F_2^{n-1}$ *of maximal integral submanifolds* F_1^1, F_2^{n-1} *distributions* L_1^1, L_2^{n-1}*, respectively;*

3) F_i^1 $(i = 1, 2)$ *is the line of curvature,* F_2^{n-1}*, where* $n > 2$*, is the surface of curvature of submanifold* F^n *in* $M^{n+p}(c)$.

From theorem 1 we obtain the following statement on geometric structure of submanifolds from the set $\mathcal{R}_b$.

Theorem 2. *Let* F^n *be n-dimensional submanifold in simply connected space* $M^{n+p}(c)$*. If* F^n *belongs to the set* $\mathcal{R}_b$ *and* $\overline{\nabla} b \neq 0$ *at each point* $x \in F^n$ *then* F^n *is join of closures of its domains which*

1) is open part of direct Riemmanian product $F_1^1 \times F_2^{n-1}$ *of curve* F_1^1 *with curvature* $k_1 \neq const$ *and* $(n-1)$*-dimensional intrinsically planar totally geodesic in* F^n *submanifold* F_2^{n-1}*;*

2) is contained in some $(n+1)$*-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold* $M^{n+1} \subset M^{n+p}(c)$.

1 The equations of submanifolds of the set $\mathcal{R}_b$.

Denote by $\widetilde{g}$ Riemmanian metric on $M^{n+p}(c)$, by g induced Riemmanian metric on F^n, by $\widetilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ Riemmanian connectedness on $M^{n+p}(c)$ and F^n coordinated with $\widetilde{g}$ and g respectively. The second fundamental form b defines by the equation [6, c. 39]: $\widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + b(X, Y)$ for any vector fields X, Y tangential to F^n. Denote by D normal connectedness F^n in $M^{n+p}(c)$. For any vector field ξ noraml to F^n, we have: $\widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + D_X \xi$, where A_ξ is the second fundsmental tensor corresponding to ξ.

For any vector fields X, Y, Z tangential to F^n, and vector field ξ normal to F^n, we have:

$$\widetilde{g}(b(X,Y),\xi) = g(A_\xi X, Y), \tag{1}$$

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X b)(Y,Z) = D_X(b(Y,Z)) - b(\nabla_X Y, Z) - b(Y, \nabla_X Z), \tag{2}$$

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X A_\xi)Y = \nabla_X(A_\xi Y) - A_\xi(\nabla_X Y) - A_{D_X \xi} Y, \tag{3}$$

$$\widetilde{g}((\overline{\nabla}_X b)(Y,Z),\xi) = g((\overline{\nabla}_X A_\xi)Y, Z). \tag{4}$$

Denote by R and $R^{\perp}$ the curvature tensors of connectednesses ∇ and D respectively. The equations of Gauss, Peterson — Codacci and Ricci if F^n is submanifold in $M^{n+p}(c)$ takes the following forms respectively [6]:

$$g(R(X,Y)Z,W) = c(g(X,W)g(Y,Z) - g(X,Z)g(Y,W)) +$$

$$+\widetilde{g}(b(X,W),b(Y,Z))-\widetilde{g}(b(X,Z),b(Y,W)), \tag{5}$$

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X b)(Y,Z)=(\overline{\nabla}_Y b)(X,Z), \tag{6}$$

$$\widetilde{g}(R^{\perp}(X,Y)\xi,\eta)=g([A_{\xi},A_{\eta}]X,Y) \tag{7}$$

for any $X,Y,Z,W\in TF^n$ and $\xi,\eta\in T^{\perp}F^n$, where TF^n and $T^{\perp}F^n$ are tangent and normal bundles on F^n respectively.

F^n belongs to the set $\mathcal{R}_b$ if and only if, on F^n, there exists 1-form μ such that for any $X,Y,Z\in TF^n$

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X b)(Y,Z)=\mu(X)b(Y,Z). \tag{8}$$

Equation system (5) — (8) determines submanifolds F^n of the only set $\mathcal{R}_b$ in $M^{n+p}(c)$.

2 The structure of submanifolds from the set $\mathcal{R}_b$.

Before proof of teorems 1, 2 we consider some proposals.

Let $\{e_i\}_1^n$ be the field of basises in TF^n. We denote by S and R_1 Ricci form and Ricci tensor in connectedness ∇ respectively. For any vector fields X,Y tangent to F^n form S is defined by the following equation $S(X,Y)=\sum_{i=1}^n g(R(e_i,X)Y,e_i)$, operator R_1 satisfies the following equation $S(X,Y)=g(R_1X,Y)$. Submanifold F^n is called *Eisenstein*, if $R_1=\lambda I$, where I is identical transformation, λ is Eisenstein constant.

Lemma 1. *Let F^n in $M^{n+p}(c)$ belongs to the set $\mathcal{R}_b$. If $\overline{\nabla}b\neq 0$ at each point $x\in F^n$ then F^n is Eisenstein with Eisenstein constant $\lambda=c(n-1)$.*

Proof. Let $\{n_\sigma\}_1^p$ be the field of orthonormalized basises in $T^{\perp}F^n$. Assume $A_\sigma=A_{n_\sigma}$. We denote by H the vector of mean curvature of F^n: $H=H^\sigma n_\sigma$, $nH^\sigma=\mathrm{trace}A_\sigma$. From (5) using (1) we obtain:

$$S(X,Y)=c(n-1)g(X,Y)+n\widetilde{g}(H,b(X,Y))-\sum_{\sigma=1}^{p}g(A_\sigma X,A_\sigma Y)$$

$$\forall X,Y\in TF^n. \tag{9}$$

We will show that, on F^n, holds the equation

$$n\widetilde{g}(H,b(X,Y))=\sum_{\sigma=1}^{p}g(A_\sigma X,A_\sigma Y)\quad \forall X,Y\in TF^n. \tag{10}$$

Notice that using (6) and (8) we have
$\widetilde{g}\left(b(X,Y),(\overline{\nabla}_Z b)(V,W)\right)$ is symmetric by $X,Y,Z,V,W\in TF^n$ and therefore using (4), on F^n, we have:

$$n\widetilde{g}(H,(\overline{\nabla}_Z b)(X,Y))=\sum_{\sigma=1}^{p}g(A_\sigma X,(\overline{\nabla}_Z A_\sigma)(Y)).\quad \forall X,Y,Z\in TF^n.$$

Then using (8) we obtain the equation

$$\mu(Z)\left(n\widetilde{g}(H,b(X,Y))-\sum_{\sigma=1}^{p}g(A_\sigma X,A_\sigma Y)\right)=0\quad\forall X,Y,Z\in TF^n.$$

Since $\mu\neq 0$ then from the above equation we get (10). We use (10) and we obtain from (9) that for any $X,Y\in TF^n$ $S(X,Y)=c(n-1)g(X,Y)$. Lemma is proved.

Denote, in $T_x^\perp F^n$, linear subspace $N_0(x)=\{\xi(x)\in T_x^\perp F^n|A_{\xi(x)}=0\}$. Denote by $N_1(x)$ orthogonal complement $N_0(x)$ in $T_x^\perp F^n$: $T_x^\perp F^n=N_0(x)\oplus N_1(x)$. $N_1(x)$ is called *the first normal space* of submanifold F^n in x. Dimension $N_1(x)$ is called *the point codimension* F^n in x. Notice that at each point $x\in F^n$

$$\dim N_1(x)\leq\min\{p,\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\}.$$

Lemma 2. *Let F^n belongs to the set $\mathcal{R}_b$. If $\overline{\nabla}b\neq 0$ at point $x\in F^n$ then* $\dim N_1(x)=1$.

Proof. Assume that $\dim N_1(x)\neq 1$ at the given point x. Since $b\neq 0$ then $\dim N_1(x)>1$ and therefore there exist vectors $t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4\in T_xF^n$ such that vectors $b(t_1,t_2),b(t_3,t_4)\in T_x^\perp F^n$ are not collinear. Consider linear combination of vectors: $\mu(t_1)\mu(t_2)b(t_3,t_4)-\mu(t_3)\mu(t_4)b(t_1,t_2)$. Using (6) and (8) we have: $\mu(t_1)\mu(t_2)b(t_3,t_4)-\mu(t_3)\mu(t_4)b(t_1,t_2)=\mu(t_1)\mu(t_3)b(t_2,t_4)-\mu(t_3)\mu(t_4)b(t_1,t_2)=\mu(t_4)\mu(t_3)b(t_2,t_1)-\mu(t_3)\mu(t_4)b(t_1,t_2)=0$. Using linear independence of vectors $b(t_1,t_2),b(t_3,t_4)$ we have $\mu(t_1)\mu(t_2)=\mu(t_3)\mu(t_4)=0$. Then for $\forall t\in T_xF^n$ $\mu(t)b(t_1,t_2)=\mu(t_1)b(t,t_2)=\mu(t_2)b(t,t_1)=0$. Therefore, $\mu(t)=0,\forall t\in T_xF^n$ contradicts to the following condition $\overline{\nabla}b\neq 0$. Lemma is proved.

We say that F^n *carries planar normal connectedness*, if $R^\perp\equiv 0$ on F^n. The last condition is necessary and sufficient for the following: submanifold F^n in $M^{n+p}(c)$ has n principal directions at any point [6, c. 99].

Lemma 3. *Let F^n in $M^{n+p}(c)$ belongs to the set $\mathcal{R}_b$. If $\overline{\nabla}b\neq 0$ at any point $x\in F^n$ then F^n carries planar normal connectedness.*

Proof follows from lemma 2 and equations (7).

Let N be q-dimensional distribution putting the correspondence for each point $x\in F^n$ some q-dimensional subspace $N(x)\in T^\perp F^n$. We say that N is *parallel in normal connectedness*, if for any normal vector field $\xi\in N$ the covariant derivative $D_X\xi\in N$ for all $X\in TF^n$.

Consider, on F^n, distributions Δ_0 and Δ_1 such that $\Delta_0(x)=N_0(x)$, $\Delta_1(x)=N_1(x)$.

Lemma 4. *Let F^n in $M^{n+p}(c)$ belongs to the set $\mathcal{R}_b$. If $\overline{\nabla}b\neq 0$ at any point $x\in F^n$ then Δ_0 and Δ_1 are parallel in normal connectedness.*

Proof. Using lemma 2 we get for any point $x \in F^n$ $\dim N_1(x) = 1$. Let ξ be the unit normal vector field generating the distribution Δ_1. Let $\{X_i\}_1^n$ be the field of basises of tangential vectors in TF^n. Therefore $N_1(x) = \operatorname{span}\{b(X_i(x), X_j(x)\}_{i,j=1}^n \quad \forall x \in F^n$. From (2), using (8) we have:

$$D_{X_i}(b(X_j, X_k)) = (\overline{\nabla}_{X_i} b)(X_j, X_k) + b(\nabla_{X_i} X_j, X_k) + b(X_j, \nabla_{X_i} X_k) =$$

$$= \mu(X_i) b(X_j, X_k) + b(\nabla_{X_i} X_j, X_k) + b(X_j, \nabla_{X_i} X_k) \in \Delta_1.$$

Therefore $D_X(b(Y, Z)) \in \Delta_1 \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in TF^n$ and hence $D_X \xi \in \Delta_1 \quad \forall X \in TF^n$. Moreover, the field ξ is parallel in normal connectedness D. Since $\widetilde{g}(\xi, \xi) = 1$ then $\widetilde{g}(D_X \xi, \xi) = 0 \quad \forall X \in TF^n$. Therefore, using the fact Δ_1 is one-dimensional distribution we obtain the equality $D_X \xi = 0 \quad \forall X \in TF^n$. We add ξ into the field of orthonormalized basises $\{n_\sigma\}_1^p$ in $T^\perp F^n$ assuming $\xi = n_1$. Then the fields $n_2, \dots, n_p$ generate distribution Δ_0. We have: $\widetilde{g}(\xi, n_\rho) = 0, \quad \rho = \overline{2, p}$. Hence $\widetilde{g}(\xi, D_X n_\rho) = 0 \quad \forall X \in TF^n, \quad \rho = \overline{2, p}$ and therefore $D_X n_\rho \in \Delta_0 \quad \forall X \in TF^n, \quad \rho = \overline{2, p}$. Moreover without loss of generality we assume that the normals $n_2, \dots, n_p$ are parallel in normal connectedness D. Lemma is proved.

Proof theorem 1. From lemma 2 we get that in some neighborhood $O(x) \subset F^n$ of point x there exists unit vector field $\xi \in T^\perp F^n$ such that vector fields $b(X, Y)$ are collinear ξ for ђбже $X, Y \in TF^n$. We add ξ into the field of orthonormalized basises $\{n_\sigma\}_1^p$ ђ $T^\perp F^n$ assuming $\xi = n_1$. Then using the fact that A_ξ is symmetric linear transformation, from (9) we have

$$S(X, Y) = c(n-1) g(X, Y) + g(A_\xi X, Y) \operatorname{trace} A_\xi - g(A_\xi^2 X, Y)$$

$$\forall X, Y \in TF^n.$$

Then using lemma 1 we obtain

$$g(\operatorname{trace} A_\xi A_\xi X - A_\xi^2 X, Y) = 0 \quad \forall X, Y \in TF^n.$$

Hence

$$(\operatorname{trace} A_\xi A_\xi - A_\xi^2) X = 0 \quad \forall X \in TF^n. \tag{11}$$

From lemma 3 we have the fact that F^n has at each point $y \in O(x)$ n principal directions. The principal directions are mutually orthogonal and conjugate, and therefore are eigenvectors of operator A_ξ. Consider orthonoralized basis $\{t_i\}_1^n \in T_y F^n$ consisting of eigenvectors of operator $A_{\xi(y)}$. Denote by k_i the eigenvalue of operator $A_{\xi(y)}$ corresponding to the eigenvector t_i: $A_{\xi(y)} t_i = k_i t_i, i = \overline{1, n}$. Assume $nh = \sum_{j=1}^n k_j$. Then from (11) we get: $nhk_i - k_i^2 = 0, i = \overline{1, n}$. That is each k_i is root of quadratic equation $k^2 - nhk = 0$. Since $A_\xi \neq 0$ then we assume $k_1 = \ldots = k_m = nh \neq 0, k_{m+1} = \ldots = k_n = 0$, where $1 \leq m \leq n$. Since $nh = \sum_{j=1}^n k_j$ then $nh = mnh$ and therefore $m = 1$.

Denote, in $O(x)$, two distributions L_1 and L_2 as: at any point $y \in O(x)$

$$L_1(y) = \{t \in T_y F^n | A_{\xi(y)} = k_1 t\}, \quad L_2(y) = \{t \in T_y F^n | A_{\xi(y)} = 0\}.$$

We will show that L_1 and L_2 are differentiable distributions. Assume $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ are differentiable vector fields tangent to F^n such that X_1 and $X_2, \dots, X_n$ at the point x are basises for $L_1(x)$ and $L_2(x)$ respectively. Denote vector fields $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$ as:

$$Y_1 = A_\xi X_1, \quad Y_i = (A_\xi - k_1 I)X_i, \quad i = \overline{2, n}.$$

Then using (11) we obtain

$$A_\xi Y_1 = A_\xi^2 X_1 = k_1 A_\xi X_1 = k_1 Y_1,$$

$$A_\xi Y_i = A_\xi((A_\xi - k_1 I)X_i) = (A_\xi^2 - k_1 A_\xi)X_i = 0, \quad i = \overline{2, n}.$$

Therefore Y_1 belongs to L_1, and $Y_2, \dots, Y_n$ belong to L_2. Since $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ are linearly independent at point x and hence in some domain $U \subset O(x)$ then L_1 and L_2 have, in U, local basises Y_1 and $Y_2 \dots, Y_n$ respectively.

We will show that L_1 and L_2 are involute. Using (4), we write (6) as:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X A_\xi)Y = (\overline{\nabla}_Y A_\xi)X \quad \forall X, Y \in TF^n. \tag{12}$$

Using (3) we have for any $X, Y \in TF^n$

$$A_\xi([X, Y]) = A_\xi(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X) = A_\xi(\nabla_X Y) - A_\xi(\nabla_Y X) =$$

$$= (\overline{\nabla}_Y A_\xi)X - (\overline{\nabla}_X A_\xi)Y + \nabla_X(A_\xi Y) - \nabla_Y(A_\xi X) + A_{D_Y \xi}X - A_{D_X \xi}Y.$$

Then using (12) we have the following equality

$$A_\xi([X, Y]) = \nabla_X(A_\xi Y) - \nabla_Y(A_\xi X) + A_{D_Y \xi}X - A_{D_X \xi}Y \quad \forall X, Y \in TF^n. \tag{13}$$

From lemma 4 we have that field ξ is parallel in normal connectedness, i.e. $D_X \xi = 0 \quad \forall X \in TF^n$. Therefore, from (13) for such ξ we obtain:

$$A_\xi([X, Y]) = \nabla_X(A_\xi Y) - \nabla_Y(A_\xi X) \quad \forall X, Y \in TF^n. \tag{14}$$

If vector fields $X, Y \in L_1$ then using the fact L_1 is one-dimensional distribution we assume $X = \nu Y_1$, $Y = \rho Y_1$ for some functions ν, ρ. Then from (14) we get

$$A_\xi([X, Y]) = \nabla_X(A_\xi Y) - \nabla_Y(A_\xi X) = \nabla_X(k_1 Y) - \nabla_Y(k_1 X) =$$

$$= k_1(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X) + X(k_1)Y - Y(k_1)X =$$

$$= k_1(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X) + \nu Y_1(k_1)\rho Y_1 - \rho Y_1(k_1)\nu Y_1 = k_1[X, Y].$$

Therefore $[X, Y] \in L_1$ for any $X, Y \in L_1$.

If vector fields $X, Y \in L_2$ then using the fact $A_\xi X = 0 \quad \forall X \in L_2$ and (14) we obtain the equality $A_\xi([X, Y]) = 0$. Hence $[X, Y] \in L_2 \quad \forall X, Y \in L_2$.

It is clear that L_1, L_2 are mutually orthogonal and conjugate, i.e.

$$g(X, Y) = 0, \quad b(X, Y) = 0 \quad \forall X \in L_1, \quad \forall Y \in L_2. \tag{15}$$

$T_yF^n = L_1(y) \bigoplus L_2(y)$ at any point $y \in U$.

Hence, in domain U, distributions L_1, L_2 form orthogonal conjugate system. Statement 1) of theorem is proved.

In order to complete the proof of statement 2) we will show that distributions L_1 and L_2 are parallel in connectedness ∇. Notice

$$Y(k_1) = 0, \quad \forall Y \in L_2 \tag{16}$$

Since $b(X,X) = k_1 g(X,X) \quad \forall X \in L_1$ then

$$(\nabla_Y b)(X,X) = Y(k_1) g(X,X) \quad \forall X \in L_1, \quad \forall Y \in TF^n. \tag{17}$$

On the other hand taking into account the fact that from lemma 3 we have $R^{\perp} \equiv 0$, using (2) and equations (6), (8) for any $X, Y \in TF^n$ we obtain:

$$(\nabla_Y b)(X,X) = (\overline{\nabla}_Y b)(X,X) = (\overline{\nabla}_X b)(X,Y) = \mu(X) b(X,Y).$$

Hence, granting (15) we get

$$(\nabla_Y b)(X,X) = 0 \quad \forall X \in L_1, \quad \forall Y \in L_2.$$

Saïæҗңпп sнбкҗhңҗҗ equality ђ (17), saïeнгhïæ ҡ (16).

Distributions L_1 and L_2 are parallel in connectedness ∇, if respectively

$$\nabla_Z X \in L_1 \quad \forall X \in L_1, \forall Z \in TF^n \quad \text{ï} \quad \nabla_Z Y \in L_2 \quad \forall Y \in L_2, \forall Z \in TF^n. \tag{18}$$

Let $X \in L_1$, $Y \in L_2$. At first we prove that $\nabla_Y X \in L_1$, $\nabla_X Y \in L_2$. From (14) we have: $A_\xi(\nabla_Y X - \nabla_X Y) = \nabla_Y(A_\xi X) - \nabla_X(A_\xi Y) = \nabla_Y(k_1 X)$. Hence using (16) we obtain:

$$A_\xi(\nabla_Y X - \nabla_X Y) = k_1 \nabla_Y X \quad \forall X \in L_1, \quad \forall Y \in L_2. \tag{19}$$

We represent vector fields $\nabla_Y X$ and $\nabla_X Y$ as: $\nabla_Y X = Z_1 + Z_2$, $\nabla_X Y = V_1 + V_2$, where $Z_1, V_1 \in L_1$, $Z_2, V_2 \in L_2$. Then

$$A_\xi(\nabla_Y X - \nabla_X Y) = A_\xi(Z_1 - V_1 + Z_2 - V_2) =$$

$$= A_\xi(Z_1 - V_1) + A_\xi(Z_2 - V_2) = k_1(Z_1 - V_1).$$

On the other hand from (19) we get:

$$A_\xi(\nabla_Y X - \nabla_X Y) = k_1(Z_1 + Z_2).$$

Therefore, $Z_2 = 0$, $V_1 = 0$. Hence

$$\nabla_Y X \in L_1, \quad \nabla_X Y \in L_2 \quad \forall X \in L_1, \quad \forall Y \in L_2. \tag{20}$$

Then from (15) for $\forall Z \in TF^n$ the following equality holds $g(\nabla_Z X, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Z Y) = 0$. If $Z \in L_1$ then using (20) from the last equation we obtain $g(\nabla_Z X, Y) = 0$. Similarily for $Z \in$

L_2 we have $g(X, \nabla_Z Y) = 0$. Therefore the following conditions hold: $\nabla_Z X \in L_1 \quad \forall Z \in L_1$ and $\nabla_Z Y \in L_2 \quad \forall Z \in L_2$ which with (20) bring to (18).

Therefore, in domain U, we can introduce coordinates $(u^1, \ldots, u^n)$ such that vector fields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u^1} \quad \text{ï} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u^2}, \ldots \frac{\partial}{\partial u^n}$$

generate distributions L_1 and L_2 respectively. Moreover,

$$g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^1}\right) = g_{11}(u^1), \quad k_1 = k_1(u^1),$$

$$g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}\right) = g_{ij}(u^2, \ldots, u^n), \quad g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}\right) = 0, \quad i, j = \overline{2, n}. \tag{21}$$

Then, in domain U, F^n is direct Riemmanian product of maximal integral manifolds F_1^1 and F_2^{n-1} distributions L_1 and L_2 respectively: $F^n = F_1^1 \times F_2^{n-1}$. Statement 2) of theorem is proved.

The proof of statement 3) is completed by the following

$$b\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^1}\right) = k_1(u^1) g_{11}(u^1) \xi,$$

$$b\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}\right) = 0, \quad b\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}\right) = 0, \quad i, j = \overline{2, n}. \tag{22}$$

Theorem is proved.

Note. In domain U determined by the conditions of theorem 1 1-form $\mu = d \ln |H|$.

According to [2, p. 33] we will call submanifold F^n *locally reducible*, if in some neighbourhood of any its point F^n carries the orthogonal conjugate system $\{L_1^{k_1}, \ldots, \text{Ł}_m^{k_m}\}$ $(m \geq 2)$ such that all distributions $L_i^{k_i}, i = \overline{1, m}$ are parallel in connectedness ∇. Otherwise submanifold F^n is called *nonreducible.*

From theorem 1 we have

Corollary. *Let* F^n *be irreducible submanifold in* $M^{n+p}(c)$. *If* F^n *belongs to the set* $\mathcal{R}_b$ *then* F^n *has parallel the second fundamental form* b.

Lemma 5. *In domain* U, *determined by the conditions of theorem 1 there exists the field of orthonormalized basises* $\{n_\sigma\}_1^p$ *in* $T^\perp F^n$ *parallel in normal connectedness* D *and such that* $n_\rho = const, \quad \rho = \overline{2, p}$.

Proof. Consider in domain U the field of orthonormalized basises $\{n_\sigma\}_1^p$ in $T^\perp F^n$: normal vector fields $n_1 = \xi$ and $n_2, \ldots, n_p$ generate respectively distributions Δ_1 and Δ_0. Using lemma 4, without loss of generality we assume that all normals $n_\sigma, \sigma = \overline{1,p}$ are parallel in normal connectedness D. Then in U using the fact $A_\rho = 0, \rho = \overline{2,p}$, we have:

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X n_\rho = 0, \quad \forall X \in TF^n, \quad \rho = \overline{2,p}. \tag{23}$$

Lemma is proved.

Lemma 6. *In domain U determined by the conditions of theorem 1, the exist local coordinates $(v^1, v^2, \dots, v^n)$ such that vector fields*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} = const, \quad i = \overline{2,n}.$$

Proof. Introduce in domain U the local coordinates $(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n)$ such that vector fields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u^1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u^2}, \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial u^n}$$

generate distributions L_1^1 and L_2^{n-1} respectively. Then components of fundamental quadratic forms of F^n, in U, take the form (21), (22), and from equations (5) we obtain

$$R(X,Y)Z = 0 \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in TF_2^{n-1}. \tag{24}$$

Therefore, in domain U we can introduce the local coordinates $(v^1, v^2, \dots, v^n)$:

$$v^1 = u^1, \quad v^i = v^i(u^2, \dots, u^n), \quad i = \overline{2,n},$$

in which

$$g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^1}\right) = g_{11}(v^1),$$

$$g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^j}\right) = 0, \quad g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^j}\right) = \delta_{ij}, \quad i,j = \overline{2,n}, \tag{25}$$

where δ_{ij} is Kronecker symbol,

$$b\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^1}\right) = k_1(v^1) g_{11}(v^1)\xi,$$

$$b\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^j}\right) = 0, \quad b\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^j}\right) = 0, \quad i,j = \overline{2,n}. \tag{26}$$

Using (25) and (26), in domain U, we have:

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} = 0 \quad \forall X \in TF^n, \quad i = \overline{2,n}. \tag{27}$$

Lemma is proved.

Proof theorem 2. Let x be arbitrary point in F^n. Then x is in some domain $U \subset F^n$ where the conditions of theorem 1 hold. Let, in domain U, the field $\{n_\sigma\}_1^p$ is determined by lemma 5, and the local coordinates $(v^1, v^2, \dots, v^n)$ are determined by lemma 6. Introduce, in $M^{n+p}(c)$, in neighborhood of point x, the local coordinates $(y^1, \dots, y^{n+p})$. Then F^n is given locally by the following equation system

$$y^a = y^a(v^1, \dots, v^n), \quad a = \overline{1, n+p}.$$

From (27) we have that, in domain U, the following conditions hold:

$$\frac{\partial y^a}{\partial v^i} = d_i^a = \text{const}, \quad i = \overline{2, n}, \quad a = \overline{1, n+p}.$$

Then F^n, in U, can be given by the following equations:

$$y^a = z^a(v^1) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} d_i^a v^i, \quad d_i^a = \text{const}, \quad i = \overline{2, n}, \quad a = \overline{1, n+p}. \tag{28}$$

Equations $v^1 = v_0^1 = \text{const}$ and $v^i = v_0^i = \text{const}, i = \overline{2, n}$ determine, in U, submanifolds F_2^{n-1} and F_1^1 respectively. From the equality (24) we get that F_2^{n-1} is internally planar in F^n. Since $b(X, Y) = 0 \quad \forall X, Y \in TF_2^{n-1}$ then F_2^{n-1} is completely geodesic in F^n. The statement 1) of theorem is proved.

Proof of statement 2) we will do separately for every case: $c = 0, c > 0, < 0$.

Case 1. $c = 0$, i.e. $F^n \subset E^{n+p}$. Introduce, in E^{n+p}, the Cartesian coordinates $(x^1, \dots, x^{n+p})$, $\widetilde{g}_{ab} = \delta_{ab}, \quad a, b = \overline{1, n+p}$. Let

$$r = \{x^1(v^1, v^2, \dots v^n), \dots, x^{n+p}(v^1, v^2, \dots v^n)\}$$

be radius vector of arbitrary point $x \in U$. Then using (23), in domain U, we have

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X \widetilde{g}(r, n_\rho) = \widetilde{g}(X, n_\rho) + \widetilde{g}(r, \widetilde{\nabla}_X n_\rho) = 0 \quad \forall X \in TF^n, \quad \rho = \overline{2, p}.$$

Hence,

$$\widetilde{g}(r, n_\rho) = c_\rho = \text{const}, \quad n_\rho = \text{const}, \quad \rho = \overline{2, p}.$$

I.e. U is contained in some $(n+1)-$dimensional plane $E^{n+1} \subset E^{n+p}$ normal to vectors $n_2, \dots, n_p$.

Moreover, using (28) represent radius vector r as:

$$r(v^1, v^2, \dots, v^n) = R(v^1) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} v^i d_i,$$

where

$$R(v^1) = \{z^1(v^1), \dots, z^{n+p}(v^1)\}, \quad d_i = \{d_i^1, \dots, d_i^{n+p}\} = \text{const},$$

$$\widetilde{g}(d_i, d_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = \overline{2, n}.$$

Therefore, in domain U, using (25) for any vector $X = X^k \frac{\partial}{\partial v^k}$ we have:

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X \widetilde{g}\,(R, d_i) = \widetilde{g}\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial v^1}, d_i\right) X^1 = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i}\right) X^1 = 0 \quad i = \overline{2, n}.$$

Hence

$$\widetilde{g}\,(R, d_i) = b_i = \text{const}, \quad i = \overline{2, n}.$$

Therefore radius vector R of curve F_1^1 is contained in some 2-dimensional plane $E^2 \subset E^{n+1}$ normal to vectors $d_2, \ldots, d_n$. Then without loss of generality we have:

$$r(v^1, v^2, \ldots, v^n) = \{x^1(v^1), x^2(v^1), v^2, \ldots, v^n, 0, \ldots, 0\}.$$

I.e. U is open part of direct Riemmanian product $F_1^1 \times E^{n-1} \subset E^{n+1} \subset E^{n+p}$ of curve $F_1^1 \subset E^2$ and $(n-1)$-dimensional plane E^{n-1}.

Case 2. $c > 0$. Consider $M^{n+p}(c)$ as hypersphere $S^{n+p}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c}})$ in E^{n+p+1} of radius $\frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}$ with center at origin of coordinates. Denote by $\widetilde{b}$ the second fundamental form $S^{n+p}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c}})$ ђ E^{n+p+1}: $\widetilde{b} = \sqrt{c}\widetilde{g}$. Let $(x^1, \ldots, x^{n+p+1})$ be the Cartesian coordinates in E^{n+p+1}, $g^*_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$, $a, b = \overline{1, n+p+1}$ be Euclidean metric and ∇^* be Euclidean connectedness in E^{n+p+1}. Let r be radius vector of point $x \in U$ in E^{n+p+1}. Denote by n the unit normal at x on $S^{n+p}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c}})$ in E^{n+p+1} such that $n = -\sqrt{c}r$. In domain U, for any $X \in TF^n$ we get:

$$\nabla^*_X n_\sigma = \widetilde{\nabla}_X n_\sigma + \widetilde{b}(X, n_\sigma) = \widetilde{\nabla}_X n_\sigma + \sqrt{c}\widetilde{g}(X, n_\sigma) = \widetilde{\nabla}_X n_\sigma, \quad \sigma = \overline{1, p}.$$

Then using (23) we have:

$$\nabla^*_X n_\rho = 0 \quad \forall X \in TF^n, \quad \rho = \overline{2, p}. \tag{29}$$

I.e. $n_\rho = \text{const}, \rho = \overline{2, p}$, ђ E^{n+p+1}. Using (29) for any $X \in TF^n$ we obtain:

$$\nabla^*_X g^*(r, n_\rho) = g^*(X, n_\rho) + g^*(r, \nabla^*_X n_\rho) = 0, \quad \rho = \overline{2, p}.$$

Therefore,

$$g^*(r, n_\rho) = c_\rho = \text{const}, \quad n_\rho = \text{const}, \quad \rho = \overline{2, p}. \tag{30}$$

Consequently any point $x \in U$ is contained in $(n+2)$-dimensional plane $E^{n+2} \subset E^{n+p+1}$ normal to vectors $n_2, \ldots, n_p$ and parallel to vector n, and therefore passing through the origin of coordinates.

Hence $U \subset S^{n+1}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}) = S^{n+p}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}) \cap E^{n+2}$.

Case 3. $c < 0$. Let E_1^{n+p+1} be $(n+p+1)$-dimensional Minkowski space with coordinates $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n+p})$. Pseudo-Euclidean metric g^* is determined as in [7, §48]:

$$g^* = \sum_{a,b=0}^{n+p} g^*_{ab} dx_a dx_b = -dx_0^2 + \sum_{a=1}^{n+p} dx_a^2.$$

Let ∇^* be connectedness in E_1^{n+p+1} coordinated with g^*. Consider $M^{n+p}(c)$ as pseudosphere $H^{n+p}(\frac{i}{\sqrt{-c}})$ ђ E_1^{n+p+1}. Submanifold $H^{n+p}(\frac{i}{\sqrt{-c}}) \subset E_1^{n+p+1}$ is given as:

$$-x_0^2 + \sum_{a=1}^{n+p} x_a^2 = \frac{1}{c}, \quad x_0 > 0.$$

Let x be arbitrary point of domain $U \subset F^n \subset H^{n+p}(\frac{i}{\sqrt{-c}})$. Let r be radius vector of point x in E_1^{n+p+1}. Without loss of generality we can assume that r has the following coordinates: $x_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-c}}, x_1 = \ldots = x_{n+p} = 0$. Let n be normal to $H^{n+p}(\frac{i}{\sqrt{-c}})$ in x such that $n = \sqrt{-c}r$ and $g^*(n,n) = -1$, i.e. n is imaginary unit vector of axis Ox_0. Then

$$\nabla^*_X n = \sqrt{-c}X, \quad \nabla^*_X n_\sigma = \widetilde{\nabla}_X n_\sigma \quad \forall X \in TF^n.$$

Consequently as in the case 2 we obtain the conditions (30). Therefore any point $x \in U$ is in $(n+2)$-dimensional Minkowski space E_1^{n+2} containing the vector n. Hence

$$U \subset E_1^{n+2} \cap H^{n+p}\left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{-c}}\right) = H^{n+1}\left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{-c}}\right).$$

Theorem is proved.

References

1. Lumiste U.G. Semisymmetric submanifolds // Problems of geometry. Moscow: VINITI, 1991. Vol. 23, pp. 3-28. (Results of science and technology).
2. Mirzoyan V.A. Ric-semisymmetric submanifolds // Problems of geometry. Moscow: VINITI, 1991. Vol. 23, pp. 29-66. (Results of science and technology).
3. Kobayashi Sh., Nomizu K. Foundations of differential geometry. Moscow: Nauka. 1981. Vol.1.
4. Depres J. Semi-parallel immersions //Geom. and topol of submanifolds: Proc. Meeting at Luminy, Marseille, 18-23 May 1987. Singapore and al., 1989. C. 73-88.
5. Lumiste U.G., Chakmazyan A.V. Normal connectedness and submanifolds with parallel normal fields in space of constant curvature // Problems of geometry. Moscow: VINITI, 1981. Vol. 12. , pp. 3-30. (Results of science and technology).
6. Chen B.-Y. Geometry of submanifolds. N.-Y. M. Dekker, 1973.
7. Rashevskiy P.K. Riemmanian geometry and tensor analysis. Moscow: GITTL. 1953.